

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**Kimberly A. Crisp,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**Case No. 2:20–cv–6059**

**Commissioner of Social Security,**

**Judge Michael H. Watson**

**Defendant.**

**Magistrate Judge Deavers**

**ORDER**

On February 4, 2022, United States Magistrate Judge Deavers, to whom this case was referred pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and General Order 22-04 for the Southern District of Ohio, filed a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) in this Social Security case. R&R, ECF No. 27. The R&R recommended reversing the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security Administration and remanding the case to the Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”). *Id.* at 16.

The R&R notified the parties of their right to file objections to the same pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). The R&R further specifically advised the parties that the failure to timely object would result in a forfeiture of the right to *de novo* review by the Undersigned as well as a forfeiture of the right to appeal a decision by the Undersigned adopting the R&R. *Id.* at 16–17. The deadline for filing such objections has passed, and no objections were filed.

Having received no objections, the R&R is **ADOPTED**. The Commissioner's finding is **REVERSED**, and the case is **REMANDED** to the Commissioner and ALJ under Sentence Four of § 405(g).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

*/s/ Michael H. Watson*  
**MICHAEL H. WATSON, JUDGE**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**